

1 Minutes of the  
2 BOUNTIFUL CITY COUNCIL

3 May 26, 2020

4 6:00 p.m. – Public Meeting; 6:30 p.m. – Work Session

5 7:00 p.m. – Regular Session

6  
7 Present: Mayor Pro Tem Kendalyn Harris  
8 Councilmembers Millie S. Bahr, Kate Bradshaw, Richard Higginson,  
9 Chris R. Simonsen  
10 City Manager Gary Hill  
11 City Engineer Lloyd Cheney  
12 Planning Director Francisco Astorga  
13 City Attorney Clinton Drake  
14 Finance Director Tyson Beck  
15 Police Chief Tom Ross  
16 Recording Secretary Maranda Hilton

17  
18 Excused

19 Mayor Randy Lewis (attended via telephone)  
20

21 Official notice of the City Council Meeting was given by posting an Agenda at the temporary  
22 City Hall locations (805 South and 150 North Main Street) and on the Bountiful City Website and the  
23 Utah Public Notice Website and by providing copies to the following newspapers of general  
24 circulation: Davis County Clipper and Standard Examiner.

25  
26 **Public Meeting – 6:00 p.m.**  
27 **South Davis Metro Fire Station Conference Room**  
28

29 Mayor Pro Tem Harris called the meeting to order at 6:07 p.m. and welcomed those in  
30 attendance. Mayor Randy Lewis joined the session via telephone.  
31

32 **PUBLIC MEETING REGARDING NORTH CANYON PROPERTY ACQUISITION**

33 Mr. Gary Hill explained that as a result of the Gun Range fire last year, our community is  
34 eligible for “Hazard Mitigation Grant Funding” through FEMA. The funding’s purpose is to help  
35 communities mitigate risk of future disasters, and one of the allowed uses is to purchase property  
36 with it. The City could be eligible for up to \$500,000 with a requirement to match an additional 25%.

37 There is property near North Canyon that is available for purchase and has been identified as  
38 a high priority area by Chief Jeff Bassett, South Davis Metro Fire, for fire mitigation efforts. Notices  
39 were mailed to neighbors of the property who live within 500 feet, to inform them of this meeting.  
40 The purpose of this meeting is to explain what the City intends to do with the property and why. This  
41 is early in the process and there is no finalized plan. The City would prefer to work with the  
42 neighbors to come up with a design that will be beneficial to everyone.

43 Mr. Hill explained some of the failings of the North Canyon Trailhead as it currently exists,  
44 saying that although it has been open for public use for many years, it does not accommodate many  
45 users or vehicles because the road is narrow and the street is a dead end. The narrow road also limits  
46 City sanitation vehicles and snowplows from turning around there, and greatly limits the ability of the  
47 fire department to respond quickly or effectively to fires in the canyon. The hope is that the City

1 would purchase the land just south of the trailhead to use as a staging/parking area for emergency  
2 response vehicles and equipment and to keep cars off of the street. The dead wood would be cleared  
3 from the area and it would become a fire break between the wildland area and the homes. There is the  
4 possibility of creating a site for a temporary water basin to be placed in the event of a fire, which  
5 helicopters can use to refill their buckets. There is also the hope to build a single-track trail to  
6 accommodate hikers and bikers and keep them off the road used by motorized vehicles. The grant  
7 will only cover the purchase of the land, so these improvements would have to be funded from other  
8 sources.

9 Councilwoman Bradshaw asked why the land south of the trail is being purchased and not  
10 (also) the land north. Mr. Hill explained that this is the first piece of property that has become  
11 available, and although they would love to be able to provide an even larger fire break by purchasing  
12 land on both sides, that land on the north is very large and it is beyond the City's means to purchase it  
13 at this time.

14 Mr. Hill explained that for the public meeting they solicited comments from the neighbors. He  
15 read an emailed comment from Ms. Barbara Drake (1327 E Canyon Creek Drive):

16  
17 Dear Mr. Hill,

18 Thank you for explaining the intentions of Bountiful City regarding the FEMA grant. While I  
19 support efforts to protect property, I do have a few thoughts that I would like to share with you  
20 and the City Council.

21 1. The acquisition of property for the purpose of creating a fire break in North Canyon  
22 as shown on the map is only on the south side of the dirt road. There is equal danger for fire on  
23 both sides and property owners on the north side deserve equal consideration and protection.

24 2. Numerous animals and birds water and graze on the south side of North Canyon and  
25 clear cutting of the area to make a parking lot would force them out. Bountiful City has for  
26 years struggled with the deer population on city streets and destroying a habitat as there is in  
27 North Canyon would increase the problem.

28 3. Many of the trees in North Canyon are over 100 years old and healthy. Trees remove  
29 chemicals and pollutants from the air provide us with clean, healthy air to breathe.

30 4. The trees, stream, deadfall and fencing on the south side of North Canyon provide a  
31 barrier to vehicles driving through a riparian area. If a staging area or parking lot is built by  
32 clear cutting the trees and bridging the stream, a gate will need to be erected and a substantial  
33 barrier or fence built to keep vehicles out of the area surrounding the parking lot. Unfortunately,  
34 some of the people who visit North Canyon pull down No Trespassing signs, cut wire fencing  
35 and ram gates until they break to gain access to sensitive areas on private property.

36  
37 I would like to make the following suggestions to the City Council:

38 1. Budget additional funds if a lot or staging area is built for regular police patrols,  
39 increased vandalism and noise complaints to Bountiful Police Department, building a barrier  
40 around the perimeter of the lot and the regular removal of garbage, waste and items that have  
41 been dumped. The city's liability will also increase with the purchase of the property.

42 2. Use the grant funds to purchase the same amount of land on each side of the dirt road  
43 to provide equal protection to all property owners.

44 3. Remove only dead trees and deadfall from the property purchased and plant trees  
45 and bushes which are less likely to catch on fire and burn more slowly when they do. This will  
46 provide a firebreak while retaining the riparian habitat and help keep our air healthy.

47 4. Approach the owner of the cabin in North Canyon about using his property for a  
48 staging area for fire equipment and personnel in case of a fire.

49  
50 Please share this information verbally and in writing to the Bountiful City Council.

51 Thank you,  
52 Barbara Drake

53  
54 Councilwoman Bradshaw asked how much of the grant it will take to purchase the property

1 and Mr. Hill said it will take the entire amount.

2 Councilman Simonsen asked about the water basin and Mr. Hill said it is simply a temporary  
3 basin which could be situated and then filled from the nearest available hydrants in the event of a fire.

4 Mayor Pro Tem Harris opened the meeting up to public comment.

5 Steve Slatter (1398 E. Canyon Creek Drive) commented that he believes the greatest fire risk  
6 in that canyon comes from trespassers. He feels that the only ways to decrease the risk is to either  
7 have more enforcement or to limit access. He does not think enforcement is a realistic solution since  
8 he hasn't seen any enforcement there for 30 years. He asked the Council to please place a buffer zone  
9 between the homes nearby and the staging area so that noise from vehicles in the parking lot won't be  
10 disruptive. He also asked that they leave as much vegetation and trees as possible. Finally he asked  
11 that there be a "No Parking Zone" in front of their homes.

12 Mark Sandberg (no address given) stood and said he is opposed to having vehicles using his  
13 property. He feels a parking lot will encourage more people to come and cause destruction of  
14 property. He is in favor of having a fire break to help protect homes, but he does not want trail  
15 improvement that will encourage more trail users. He will not give the City an easement through his  
16 property.

17 Mr. Clinton Drake, City Attorney, clarified for the Council that an easement in fact already  
18 exists by court order, which is a 54-foot wide easement and extends all the way to Forest Service  
19 land.

20 Resident (no address given) asked if it is possible to put a keyed gate across the road so that  
21 only the property owners can access the canyon with motorized vehicles, while still allowing hikers  
22 and bikers through. Mr. Hill and Mr. Drake said they were unsure and would have to look into it. She  
23 then asked if the City is allowed to make improvements to the portion of the trail that is on Forest  
24 Service land, and Mr. Drake said only with the permission of the Forest Service.

25 Bob Tibbitts (1374 E. Canyon Creek Drive) said he feels the best thing that can be done for  
26 fire prevention is to limit access to the canyon. He also worries that water contamination will be an  
27 issue if more users are encouraged to use that trail. He has seen many people trespass over the years  
28 and had a hard time getting squatters removed from the canyon.

29 Mr. Slatter asked how the City plans to notify the residents when there are meetings about this  
30 issue. Mr. Hill answered that it is still early in the process, but he is happy to collect contact  
31 information and send emails notifying residents when a meeting is taking place. He also encouraged  
32 residents to attend Trails Committee meetings which will be starting up soon and will address this  
33 issue as well. That information will be posted on the City website.

34 Mr. Sandberg said that he does not condone the City making plans for his private property and  
35 does not want any trails on his land. Mr. Hill replied that he is happy to show Mr. Sandberg the court  
36 order so that he has the information if he wants it.

37 Tom Sandberg (no address given) expressed that he does not want any trails on his property in  
38 North Canyon and he is concerned that the City is making plans for his land.

39 Kathy Redd (1326 E. Canyon Creek Drive) requested that the City notify all the property  
40 owners in North Canyon moving forward and not just the ones 500 feet from the property being  
41 purchased.

42 Mr. Hill asked Mr. Francisco Astorga to collect names and email addresses from people in  
43 attendance who wish to be notified of upcoming meetings.

44  
45 **Work Session – 6:30 p.m.**

46 **South Davis Metro Fire Station Conference Room**

47  
48 Mayor Pro Tem Harris turned the time over Mr. Hill at 6:50 p.m. to begin the budget  
49 discussion.

50  
51 **BUDGET DISCUSSION – MR. GARY HILL**

1 Mr. Hill explained that there are just a few changes he wants to make the Council aware of  
2 concerning the proposed FY2021-2022 budget that will be up for approval on June 16th. He said in  
3 order to help balance revenues and expenditures they are proposing to either eliminate or decrease the  
4 Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) for City employees. Originally a 3% COLA was proposed, but  
5 now at most it would be 1%. There will also be a reduction in the proposed increase from our  
6 agreement with the South Davis Recreation District (SDRD) due to a drop in their revenues. The last  
7 change is how proposed rate increases for storm water, recycling, power rate and the power fund  
8 transfer will be prioritized. Mr. Hill said that these proposed changes will hopefully save about  
9 \$500,000 in the City's budget. If the economy turns down even further than expected, the option of  
10 using the 5% and 10% department budget reductions will be in place in addition to these proposals.  
11 The City hopes to avoid layoffs and decreased levels of Citywide services by making these changes.

12 The Council asked clarifying questions and Mr. Hill answered them.

13 Mr. Hill then told the Council that the fireworks show could be scheduled on July 4, 17 or 24.  
14 He asked them which date they would like to use. He believes West Bountiful is doing theirs on July  
15 3<sup>rd</sup>. The Council agreed that they wanted to celebrate on Pioneer Day and have the fireworks on July  
16 24<sup>th</sup>.

17 The meeting was ended at 7:03 p.m.  
18

19 **Regular Meeting – 7:00 p.m.**  
20 **South Davis Metro Fire Station Conference Room**

21  
22 Mayor Pro Tem Harris called the meeting to order at 7:06 p.m. and welcomed those in  
23 attendance. Mr. Tyson Beck led the Pledge of Allegiance and Mr. Chase Freebairn offered a prayer.  
24

25 **APPROVE MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS HELD APRIL 14, MAY 12 AND APRIL**  
26 **7, 8, 9 & 14 (BUDGET COMMITTEE MEETINGS), 2020**

27 Councilwoman Bradshaw made a motion to approve the minutes of the previous meetings as  
28 stated and Councilman Higginson seconded the motion. The motion passed with Councilmembers  
29 Bahr, Bradshaw, Harris, Higginson and Simonsen voting "aye".  
30

31 **COUNCIL REPORTS**

32 Councilwoman Bradshaw reminded residents that they are able to request that the mosquito  
33 abatement district spray their yards as desired.

34 Councilman Simonsen announced that the groundbreaking for the Bountiful Veterans' Park was held  
35 that morning. They currently have 5,000 names submitted for the wall and believe it will be closer to  
36 15,000 by the time they open the park. He thanked the City Council and Mayor for the opportunity to  
37 have this park in our City.

38 Councilwoman Bahr reported that the BCYC is moving forward accepting new applicants and has all  
39 its leadership in place for this school year. They are excited and are hopeful that they will be able to  
40 have an end of year gathering of some kind.

41 Councilman Higginson did not have a report.

42 Councilwoman Harris did not report.  
43

44 **CONSIDER APPROVAL OF:**

45 **A. EXPENDITURES GREATER THAN \$1,000 PAID APRIL 6, 13, 20, 27 AND MAY 4**  
46 **& 11, 2020**

47 **B. MARCH 2020 FINANCIAL REPORT**  
48

49 Councilwoman Bradshaw made a motion to approve the expenditures as stated and the March  
50 2020 financial report and Councilman Simonsen seconded the motion. The motion passed with  
51 Councilmembers Bahr, Bradshaw, Harris, Higginson and Simonsen voting "aye".

1  
2 **CONSIDER ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE 2020-02 THAT PROHIBITS THE DISCHARGE**  
3 **OF FIREWORKS EAST OF DAVIS BOULEVARD – MR. CLINTON DRAKE**

4 Mr. Drake explained that the fireworks restrictions are the same as they were last year with  
5 the exception of allowing flexibility regarding the date of the large fireworks display put on by the  
6 City. Councilwoman Harris asked about some wording in the ordinance and Mr. Drake said it was an  
7 error and he would correct it to say “[when] rescinded by the City Council” (concerning the  
8 expiration of the fireworks restriction).

9 Councilman Higginson made a motion to adopt Ordinance 2020-02 with the stated correction  
10 and Councilwoman Bahr seconded the motion. The motion passed with Councilmembers Bahr,  
11 Bradshaw, Harris, Higginson and Simonsen voting “aye”.

12  
13 **CONSIDER APPROVAL OF AN EASEMENT RELEASE AT 3453/3373 S. CANYON**  
14 **ESTATES DRIVE AND AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO SIGN THE RELEASE**  
15 **DOCUMENTS – MR. LLOYD CHENEY**

16 Mr. Lloyd Cheney explained that this easement release is fairly simple. The same person  
17 owns both properties and a structure was accidentally built over the property line and thus on the City  
18 easement. Because the easement is not one that is very useful for the City anyway, it is recommended  
19 that the easement between the two lots be released.

20 Councilman Higginson made a motion to release the easement as described by Mr. Cheney  
21 and Councilman Simonsen seconded the motion. The motion passed with Councilmembers Bahr,  
22 Bradshaw, Harris, Higginson and Simonsen voting “aye”.

23  
24 **CONSIDER FINAL APPROVAL OF THE COTTAGES ON MAIN PUD, 1265 & 1295**  
25 **NORTH MAIN STREET – MR. LLOYD CHENEY**

26 Mr. Cheney presented the final site plan for the Cottages on Main to the Council. He  
27 explained that since preliminary approval was given they have secured their UDOT access permit,  
28 have resolved access issues to the county water basin behind the property and have granted the City  
29 access to provide utilities to their site. The remaining conditions of approval are all pretty standard  
30 and shouldn't pose any problems.

31 He said that one item that caused much discussion in Planning Commission meeting was  
32 about site access. Fire code requires two access points when there are 30 or more residences. The  
33 final plan for this site will contain 34 residences. However, UDOT only approved one active access  
34 onto Main Street. The site will therefore have a second access with a crash gate across it and the fire  
35 department will have a key to it. The Planning Commission forwarded a unanimous vote for  
36 approval.

37 Councilwoman Bradshaw expressed that it is a shame that we can't just have two access  
38 points. Councilman Higginson asked if the gated access point will be standard width, and Mr. Cheney  
39 answered yes. Mr. Astorga further clarified that the Planning Commission thought that would be best,  
40 in case they are able to remove the gate in the future if UDOT changes its assessment.

41 Councilman Higginson made a motion to grant final approval of the Cottages on Main PUD  
42 as presented and with the conditions of approval and Councilwoman Bahr seconded the motion. The  
43 motion passed with Councilmembers Bahr, Bradshaw, Harris, Higginson and Simonsen voting “aye”.

44  
45 **CONSIDER FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLAN APPROVAL FOR A 12-UNIT**  
46 **MULTI-FAMILY TOWNHOME DEVELOPMENT LOCATED AT 1265 & 1295 NORTH**  
47 **MAIN STREET – MR. FRANCISCO ASTORGA**

48 Mr. Astorga presented the final site plan for Phase I of the Cottages on Main. He explained  
49 that the developer decided to add more bricks which can be seen on the updated elevations in the  
50 packet. He explained to the Council that there was a mix-up with staff report attachments in their  
51 Council packets, so the exhibits for this agenda item are found on pages 125-137. This plan is only

1 for the 12 townhomes that will line Main Street. The Planning Commission forwarded a positive  
2 recommendation.

3 Councilwoman Bahr made a motion to approve the final architectural and site plan for the 12-  
4 unit multi-family development as presented and Councilman Higginson seconded the motion. The  
5 motion passed with Councilmembers Bahr, Bradshaw, Harris, Higginson and Simonsen voting “aye”.

6  
7 **CONSIDER PRELIMINARY AND FINAL APPROVAL OF THE RENAISSANCE TOWNE**  
8 **CENTER COMMERCIAL MIXED USE PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT, PHASE 3,**  
9 **PLAT 1 – MR. LLOYD CHENEY**

10 Mr. Cheney explained that this presentation is the culmination of many hours of work for the  
11 Engineering Department and he feels it is a momentous occasion. He reminded them that in April  
12 2019 the Council approved a rezone of the Renaissance property to allow for mixed-use buildings.  
13 This phase of the plan encompasses approximately the south half of the Renaissance Towne Center  
14 site and will create new lots around the perimeter. He explained that utilities for the site are mostly  
15 there but will require some changes and explained what those changes will be.

16 Councilman Higginson made a motion to grant preliminary and final approval of the  
17 Renaissance Towne Center commercial mixed-use PUD Phase 3, Plat 1 as presented and  
18 Councilwoman Bradshaw seconded the motion which passed with Councilmembers Bahr, Bradshaw,  
19 Harris, Higginson and Simonsen voting “aye”.

20  
21 **CONSIDER PRELIMINARY ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLAN APPROVAL FOR**  
22 **RENAISSANCE TOWNE CENTER PAD SITE 16 (LOT12) 30-UNIT MULTI-FAMILY**  
23 **RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT – MR/ FRANCISCO ASTORGA**

24 Mr. Astorga presented the preliminary architectural and site plan for Pad Site 16 (previously  
25 Lot 12) in the Renaissance Towne Center development. He said that the developers are very ready to  
26 start on this project and he believes they will be breaking ground this summer. The building being  
27 presented tonight is a four-story residential building with 30 parking spaces on the ground level. The  
28 Planning Commission forwards a positive recommendation for approval.

29 Councilwoman Bradshaw asked if there will be vehicular access to the building from Main  
30 Street, and Mr. Astorga answered no. The only access from Main Street is pedestrian access.  
31 Vehicular access will be from Renaissance Town Center Drive.

32 Councilman Simonsen made a motion to approve the preliminary architectural and site plan  
33 for Renaissance Towne Center Pad Site 16 and Councilwoman Bahr seconded the motion which  
34 passed with Councilmembers Bahr, Bradshaw, Harris, Higginson and Simonsen voting “aye”.

35  
36 **CONSIDER FINAL APPROVAL OF THE TOWNS AT 5<sup>TH</sup> AND ORCHARD PUD – MR.**  
37 **LLOYD CHENEY**

38 Mr. Cheney presented The Towns at 5th and Orchard PUD for final approval. He showed the  
39 changes made to the entrance/exit on 500 South which the Council requested at their last discussion.  
40 The proposed change will incorporate a more separated entrance/exit which will eliminate the need  
41 for a raised median on 500 South, which will be a nice.

42 He also addressed a change that is being made to the storm water plan for the site. The  
43 original plan proposed a very large storm water retention basin on the site. However, after looking at  
44 alternative options, the City decided that it would be best to move a previously budgeted and planned  
45 storm drain project to this site from nearby. The location change will not affect the outcomes of the  
46 storm water project and will be a much better solution for storm water runoff than the retention basin  
47 would have been. It will be mutually beneficial for the developers and the City as it will allow us to  
48 keep more water out of the street and be more economical for them.

49 He said that all other things are in good shape regarding the PUD and recommends approval.

1 Councilwoman Bradshaw made a motion to grant final approval of the Towns at 5<sup>th</sup> and  
2 Orchard PUD and Councilman Simonsen seconded the motion. The motion passed with  
3 Councilmembers Bahr, Bradshaw, Harris, Higginson and Simonsen voting “aye”.

4  
5 **CONSIDER FINAL ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE PLAN APPROVAL FOR A 28-UNIT**  
6 **MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT LOCATED AT 554 SOUTH ORCHARD**  
7 **DRIVE – MR. FRANCISCO ASTORGA**

8 Mr. Astorga presented the final architectural and site plan for a 28-unit residential  
9 development at 554 South and Orchard Drive (the Towns at 5<sup>th</sup> and Orchard). He explained it will  
10 consist of three six-plex buildings and two five-plex buildings. As a reminder, the exhibits for this  
11 agenda item were mixed up with a different item and are found on pages 75-89 of the Council packet.  
12 The Planning Commission forwards a positive recommendation for approval. They feel the buildings  
13 will give this intersection a very nice look and are excited to have this site redeveloped.

14 Councilwoman Bahr made a motion to approve final architectural and site plans for the  
15 Towns at 5<sup>th</sup> and Orchard development and Councilman Higginson seconded the motion. The motion  
16 passed with Councilmembers Bahr, Bradshaw, Harris, Higginson and Simonsen voting “aye”.

17  
18 **CONSIDER APPROVAL OF A POLICE VEHICLE PURCHASE – CHIEF TOM ROSS**

19 This item was tabled until a later date.

20  
21 **CONSIDER APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION 2020-04 REGARDING AN INTERLOCAL**  
22 **COOPERATION AGREEMENT FOR CITY EMPLOYEE SERVICES TO BE PROVIDED**  
23 **TO THE SOUTH DAVIS RECREATION DISTRICT – MR. TYSON BECK**

24 Mr. Tyson Beck explained that in August of 2019 the Council approved a one year agreement  
25 with the South Davis Recreation District (SDRD) for providing accounting, financial, HR, IT and  
26 grounds maintenance services to the SDRD. It is now time to renew this agreement. Because of  
27 financial difficulties that the SDRD is experiencing from closures due to the COVID-19 pandemic, it  
28 was decided to not increase the fees for this renewal. The agreement will simply be extended for  
29 another year and will be for the same rates as the previous year.

30 Councilwoman Bradshaw made a motion to approve Resolution 2020-04 regarding an  
31 interlocal cooperation agreement for services provided to the SDRD and Councilman Higginson  
32 seconded the motion. The motion passed with Councilmembers Bahr, Bradshaw, Harris, Higginson  
33 and Simonsen voting “aye”.

34  
35 **CONSIDER APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION 2020-05 WHICH ALLOWS MEMBERS OF**  
36 **THE BOUNTIFUL CITY COUNCIL, PLANNING COMMISSION, POWER COMMISSION**  
37 **AND ADMINSTRATIVE COMMITTEE TO ELECTRONICALLY ATTEND ALL PUBLIC**  
38 **MEETINGS DURING JUNE, JULY & AUGUST – MR. CLINTON DRAKE**

39 Mr. Drake explained that this resolution is an extension of the previous resolution which  
40 allows our City Council, Planning Commission, Power Commission and Administrative Committee  
41 to hold their public meetings electronically. In order to keep the same flexibility through the months  
42 of June, July and August the resolution is being extended.

43 Councilman Higginson made a motion to adopt Resolution 2020-05 and Councilwoman  
44 Bradshaw seconded the motion. The motion passed with Councilmembers Bahr, Bradshaw, Harris,  
45 Higginson and Simonsen voting “aye”.

46  
47 **ADJOURN TO A CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS THE ACQUISITION OR SALE OF**  
48 **REAL PROPERTY, PENDING LITIGATION, AND/OR TO DISCUSS THE CHARACTER**  
49 **AND/OR COMPETENCY OF AN INDIVIDUAL(S) (UTAH CODE §52-4-205)**

50 Councilwoman Bahr made a motion to adjourn to a closed session to discuss the acquisition  
51 or sale of real property, pending litigation, and/or to discuss the character and/or competency of an

1 individual(s) (Utah code §52-4-205) and Councilman Higginson seconded the motion, which passed  
2 with the following roll call vote:

3 Simonsen “aye”  
4 Bradshaw “aye”  
5 Higginson “aye”  
6 Bahr “aye”  
7 Harris “aye”  
8

9 The closed session of City Council was opened at 7:58 p.m.  
10 Mayor Lewis was excused from attendance.  
11

12 Councilman Higginson made a motion to move back into the regular session of City Council  
13 and Councilwoman Harris seconded the motion. The motion passed with Councilmembers Bahr,  
14 Bradshaw, Harris, Higginson and Simonsen voting “aye”.  
15

16 The regular session of City Council was reopened at 8:55 pm.  
17

18 Councilman Higginson made a motion to adjourn the regular session of City Council and  
19 Councilwoman Harris seconded the motion. The motion passed with Councilmembers Bahr,  
20 Bradshaw, Harris, Higginson and Simonsen voting “aye”.  
21

22 The regular session of City Council was adjourned at 8:56 pm.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
City Recorder