

BOUNTIFUL CITY COUNCIL MEETING

TUESDAY, February 22, 2022

6:00 – Work Session

7:00 p.m. - Regular Session

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the City Council of Bountiful, Utah will hold its regular Council meeting at **City Hall, 795 South Main Street, Bountiful, Utah**, at the time and on the date given above. The public is invited to all meetings. Deliberations will occur in the meetings. Persons who are disabled as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act may request an accommodation by contacting the Bountiful City Manager at 801.298.6140. Notification at least 24 hours prior to the meeting would be appreciated.

If you are not on the agenda, the Council will not be able to discuss your item of business until another meeting. For most items it is desirable for the Council to be informed of background information prior to consideration at a Council meeting. If you wish to have an item placed on the agenda, contact the Bountiful City Manager at 801.298.6140.

Bountiful City Council meetings, including this meeting, are open to the public. The meeting is also available to view online, and the link will be available on the Bountiful City website homepage (www.bountifulutah.gov) approximately one hour prior to the start of the meeting.

AGENDA

6:00 p.m. – Work Session

1. Fiber update – Mr. Gary Hill
2. Legislative update – Mr. Gary Hill

7:00 p.m. – Regular Session

1. Welcome, Pledge of Allegiance and Thought/Prayer
2. Public Comment
If you wish to make a comment to the Council, please use the podium and clearly state your name and address, keeping your comments to a maximum of two minutes. Public comment is limited to no more than ten minutes per meeting. Please do not repeat positions already stated. Public comment is a time for the Council to receive new information and perspectives.
3. Consider approval of minutes of previous meeting held on February 8, 2022 p. 3
4. Council Reports
5. Consider approval of expenditures greater than \$1,000 paid January 31, February 7 & 10, 2022 p. 11
6. Consider approval of the purchase of 30 transformers from Anixter in the amount of \$106,650 – Mr. Allen Johnson p. 15
7. Consider approval of a contract with Newman Construction for the 2022 Water Line Project at the unit prices submitted in the proposal – Mr. Lloyd Cheney p. 17
8. Consider approval of Amendment No. 7 to the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for Animal Control Services with Davis County – Chief Ed Biehler p. 23
9. Adjourn


City Recorder

Minutes of the
BOUNTIFUL CITY COUNCIL

February 8, 2022 – 6:00 p.m.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5 Present: Mayor Kendalyn Harris
- 6 Councilmembers Jesse Bell, Kate Bradshaw, Richard Higginson, Cecilee
- 7 Price-Huish
- 8 City Manager Gary Hill
- 9 Asst City Manager Galen Rasmussen
- 10 City Attorney Clinton Drake
- 11 City Engineer Lloyd Cheney
- 12 Planning/RDA Director Francisco Astorga
- 13 Streets Director Charles Benson
- 14 Water Director Kraig Christensen
- 15 Chief Building Official Don Simons
- 16 Recording Secretary Maranda Hilton
- 17 Davis County Commissioner Randy Elliott
- 18
- 19 Excused: Councilmember Millie Segura Bahr
- 20

21 Official notice of the City Council Meeting was given by posting an Agenda at City Hall and on
22 the Bountiful City Website and the Utah Public Notice Website and by providing copies to the
23 following newspapers of general circulation: Davis County Journal and Standard Examiner.

Work Session – 6:00 p.m.
City Council Chambers

28 Mayor Harris called the meeting to order at 6:02 p.m. and welcomed those in attendance.

DAVIS COUNTY COMMISSIONER RANDY ELLIOTT VISIT

31 Commissioner Elliott was invited to give updates on Davis County happenings. He reported
32 on the courthouse remodel and restoration project. He said that Davis County received \$69M in
33 federal funds and they are planning to build an EOC (emergency operations center) in the Davis
34 County complex, which they hope will help fill a need in the county. They also plan to use about
35 \$15M in federal funds for putting sewer system infrastructure in rural areas in West Point and
36 Hooper, hoping to help those unincorporated pockets incorporate once developed. The additional jail
37 medical wing for the sheriff’s office will be finished this summer, which will be used for inmates that
38 may have drug or opioid issues.

39 Councilmember Bradshaw asked for an update on the animal shelter. Commissioner Elliott
40 explained that they will do a study to determine what size of facility they need for Davis County
41 based on how many calls they receive and projected population growth. They will use that
42 information when they go out to do the bonding to finance a new facility. They are working with
43 UDOT to find somewhere to build. He reported that the new director, Ashley Young, is doing a great
44 job.

1 Councilmember Bell asked about trails development on the bench, especially with the
2 Bonneville Shoreline Trail north of Bountiful. Commissioner Elliott explained that the plan is to have
3 one continuous trail that extends all the way to South Weber. They are working with UDOT to create
4 an underpass on US-89 to connect to Weber Pathways. There are still private property issues they
5 have to deal with, so they are tackling it section by section. They hope to create east-to-west
6 connectivity in the future as well, but there is not currently anything in the works.

7 The Mayor thanked Commissioner Elliott for his partnership on some of Bountiful's major
8 projects and he thanked the Council, Mayor and City staff for all they do.
9

10 **LEGISLATIVE UPDATE – MR. GARY HILL**

11 Mr. Gary Hill led a discussion on the latest legislative bills that could potentially affect
12 Bountiful City. He explained that the Utah League of Cities and Towns (ULCT) has been tracking
13 how many bills would create unfunded mandates for cities, preempt local authority, or create a
14 statewide standard in lieu of a local government standard. So far, they counted 27 bills that fall into
15 those categories. He expressed his concern that the state legislature increasingly views cities as
16 groups that need to be managed instead of seeing them as partners. He invited Councilmember
17 Bradshaw to give an update about the IPA legislation.

18 Councilmember Bradshaw reported that the City's outreach efforts have started making a
19 difference, but that this package of bills is still advancing. She explained that although they are not
20 yet out of peril, there is still a lot of time for this to play out. Mitsubishi, Chevron and Magnum have
21 committed many millions of dollars to be partners with cities on this problem and have come forward
22 to say they are concerned. She said that when cities come together, they can affect change, so she is
23 hopeful they will see a good outcome. Mr. Hill added an explanation about HB215 and why it makes
24 the possibility of bonding for this project very low. He also added that the Governor's office has
25 come on board with the repowering project, and although the legislature can overturn the Governor's
26 veto, it is very good news.

27 Councilmember Higginson asked whether it is feasible to continue using the coal plant and
28 also move forward with the retrofitting. Councilmember Bradshaw answered and elaborated on the
29 many reasons that is not a feasible plan. There are a lot of logistical hurdles involved in continuing to
30 run a coal plant and also finding an investor who could run it efficiently enough to keep it viable.

31 Mr. Hill went on to report about HB242 which would require all pressurized secondary
32 watering systems to be metered by 2030. Part of this bill would provide a matching grant, but it
33 would be a very small percentage of the actual cost of all the required retrofitting. He said that
34 although Bountiful City does not provide secondary water and this bill would not affect the City
35 directly, it affects Bountiful City residents.

36 Mr. Hill also reported on HB23 which would require cities to provide mental health services
37 for all first responders and their families for the rest of their lives. He said that although this is a well-
38 meaning bill, the fact that it does not have an end date is concerning and would cost a lot of money.

39 Mr. Hill added that the landscaping bill has not seen a lot of movement yet, but it will be in
40 committee tomorrow.

41
42 The meeting ended at 6:49 p.m.
43
44
45
46

1
2
3
4 **Regular Meeting – 7:00 p.m.**
5 **City Council Chambers**
6

7 **WELCOME, PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND THOUGHT/PRAYER**

8 Mayor Harris called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. and welcomed those in attendance. Ms.
9 Sharlynn Thompson led the Pledge of Allegiance and offered a prayer.
10

11 **PUBLIC COMMENT**

12 The public comment section was opened at 7:03 p.m.
13

14 Mr. Alex Densley (443 Jeri Drive) voiced his concern that the person chosen to fill the
15 Planning Commission seat has a background in real estate development, as do several other planning
16 commissioners. He fears this will result in short-term decisions that benefit real estate developers but
17 are not good for the City long-term. He proposed they remove Ms. Gilmore and himself from the
18 applicants list and choose another candidate.
19

20 The public comment section was closed at 7:05 p.m.
21

22 **CONSIDER APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS HELD ON JANUARY**
23 **11, 13, 14 & 25, 2022**

24 Councilmember Bradshaw made a motion to approve the minutes of previous meetings held
25 January 11, 13, 14 & 25, 2022 and Councilmember Price-Huish seconded the motion, which passed
26 with Councilmembers Bell, Bradshaw, Higginson and Price-Huish voting “aye.”
27

28 **COUNCIL REPORTS**

29 Councilmember Price-Huish did not have a report.

30 Mayor Harris did not have a report.

31 Councilmember Bell reported that the BCYC will be accepting applications for the new
32 school year next month and expressed his excitement for all the wonderful things they are doing.

33 Councilmember Bradshaw did not have a report.

34 Councilmember Higginson did not have a report.
35

36 **BCYC REPORT**

37 Mr. Noah Wright, BCYC City Manager, reported on the BCYC’s “Day at the Legislature”,
38 and announced that they will be accepting applications for the new school year soon. He also said
39 they are planning the annual Bunny Hop for April 16th at Bountiful Park.
40

41 **CONSIDER APPROVAL OF:**

- 42 a. **EXPENDITURES GREATER THAN \$1,000 PAID JANUARY 10, 17 & 24, 2022**
43 b. **DECEMBER 2021 FINANCIAL REPORT**

44 Councilmember Price-Huish made a motion to approve the expenditures paid January 10, 17
45 and 24, and Councilmember Bradshaw seconded the motion. Mayor Harris asked if the motion also
46 included approval of the December financial report, and Councilmember Price-Huish added that to

1 the motion. Councilmember Bradshaw seconded the amended motion. The motion was approved
2 with Councilmembers Bell, Bradshaw, Higginson and Price-Huish voting “aye.”
3

4 **CONSIDER APPROVAL OF THE APPOINTMENT OF MS. KRISSY GILMORE TO THE**
5 **BOUNTIFUL CITY PLANNING COMMISSION – MR. FRANCISCO ASTORGA**

6 Mr. Francisco Astorga explained that when Councilmember Bell was elected to the Council it
7 left a vacancy on the Planning Commission. They have decided to have this new term end on July 1st
8 2024. There were 12 applicants, and staff is recommending Ms. Krissy Gilmore for the position. He
9 explained that she currently works as a planner in Salt Lake City, and that she does not have a
10 background in real estate that would present any kind of conflict with her service on the commission.

11 Councilmember Bell added that he worked with Ms. Gilmore in his previous career, and he
12 knows her to be a hard worker who understands city dynamics and the issues that affect how
13 Bountiful residents live. He feels she will be a good asset to our Planning Commission.

14 Mr. Clinton Drake explained that the only qualification to be member of the Planning
15 Commission is to be a Bountiful resident. He added that he loves the depth of knowledge and
16 expertise that our current commission provides for the benefit of the City. Finally, he explained that
17 he provides conflict training to the Planning Commission and feels comfortable with the appointment
18 of Ms. Gilmore.

19 Councilmember Bell made a motion to approve the appointment of Ms. Krissy Gilmore to the
20 Planning Commission and Councilmember Higginson seconded the motion. The motion was
21 approved with Councilmembers Bell, Bradshaw, Higginson and Price-Huish voting “aye.”

22 Ms. Gilmore expressed her excitement to serve and to be a part of the future of Bountiful. She
23 is looking forward to making Bountiful a better place and a great place to raise her family.
24

25 **APPOINTMENT OF COUNCILMEMBER CECILEE PRICE-HUISSH TO THE**
26 **CENTERPOINT CONTROL BOARD – MR. GARY HILL**

27 Mr. Hill explained that they made a mistake and have since realized that they do need to
28 provide two appointments to the Centerpoint Administrative Control Board, so they have asked
29 Councilmember Price-Huish to fulfill that assignment.

30 Councilmember Higginson made a motion to approve the appointment and Councilmember
31 Bradshaw seconded the motion. The motion was approved with Councilmembers Bell, Bradshaw,
32 Higginson and Price-Huish voting “aye.”
33

34 **CONSIDER ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 2022-02 WHICH AMENDS FEES AND**
35 **CHARGES RELATING TO PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES WITHIN**
36 **BOUNTIFUL CITY – MR. GALEN RASMUSSEN**

37 Mr. Galen Rasmussen explained that after doing an assessment of the City’s planning and
38 development fees, they realized that costs were not being covered by the fees, which means that
39 development costs are essentially being subsidized by taxpayers.

40 Councilmember Bradshaw said that she feels raising the fees is a good way to ensure the right
41 level of staffing to be able to review plans and complete inspections in a timely manner. She also
42 explained that she presented this agenda item to members of the Utah Taxpayers Association and
43 asked them for their opinion. They said that they support the way the study was conducted, and they
44 agree that any specific uses should be tied directly to their fees.

1 Councilmember Price-Huish voiced her concern that some of these fee increases might be too
2 prohibitive for residents who are trying to make changes to their properties. She wondered if fees
3 could be set differently for homeowners and for commercial developers.

4 Councilmember Higginson pointed out that the City subsidizes staff time with taxpayer
5 money in other ways, and suggested that perhaps there was a reasonable amount of subsidy that is
6 appropriate in this regard as well, in order to keep the fees from being too high. He did not feel that
7 trying to match other cities was a good justification for raising taxes.

8 Mr. Don Simons, Chief Inspector, explained that they did take into account the fees that
9 commonly apply to property owners, like lot line adjustments and finishing basements and kept those
10 to a minimum. He said they took all of that into account.

11 Mr. Hill added that these new fees do not actually cover the entire cost, but they get closer to
12 doing that. He said he does not want people to think that the City is trying to extract every penny or
13 keep up with the neighbors, because that is simply not the reasoning behind these changes. It is a
14 matter of trying to cover a reasonable amount of the cost or not.

15 Councilmembers Bradshaw and Bell expressed their support of the fee increases, saying they
16 do not seem to be unreasonable, and they will allow City staff to operate more effectively, especially
17 on those larger projects that consume a lot of staff time.

18 Councilmember Price-Huish reiterated her concern about fees being prohibitive for residents
19 and suggested they have tiered pricing to differentiate between commercial and residential projects.
20 She pointed out the cost of a land use appeal and said she feels it is exorbitant.

21 Mr. Hill and Mr. Drake explained that a land use appeal is a very time-intensive and
22 complicated process, usually consisting of many hours of preparation, meetings involving many staff
23 members and then a long hearing before the administrative law judge. It is the final action one takes
24 in trying to appeal a land use decision, and there are not very many of these. Mr. Hill added that there
25 are no fees for normal complaints, and residents are not charged to come and express their concerns
26 about a land use decision or a variance. An appeal like this is a legal proceeding. Mr. Drake said that
27 there is always an informal appeal process that happens to try to work things out before a formal
28 appeal takes place.

29 Mr. Hill explained that there are strict guidelines from the State about how the City's fees can
30 work, and some fees cannot arbitrarily be discounted and not others. He said that if the Council wants
31 to lower the fees, all the fees would need to be lowered.

32 Councilmember Bradshaw made a motion to approve the adoption of Resolution 2022-02
33 which amends the fees and charges related to planning and development activities and
34 Councilmember Higginson seconded the motion. The motion was approved with Councilmembers
35 Bell, Bradshaw, Higginson and Price-Huish voting "aye."
36

37 **TRUCK FROM YOUNG AUTOMOTIVE GROUP IN THE AMOUNT OF \$36,128 – MR.**
38 **KRAIG CHRISTENSEN**

39 Mr. Kraig Christensen explained that dealerships are not taking government orders right now,
40 so they decided to purchase a truck that was on the lot which will meet their needs. This purchase is a
41 budgeted item in the ten-year capital plan and staff recommends purchase of the Chevrolet 2500HD
42 pickup in the amount of \$36,128 from Young Automotive Group.

43 Councilmember Higginson made a motion to approve the purchase of the truck and
44 Councilmember Bradshaw seconded the motion. The motion was approved with Councilmembers
45 Bell, Bradshaw, Higginson and Price-Huish voting "aye."
46

1 **CONSIDER FINAL APPROVAL OF THE CREEKSIDE VIEWS SUBDIVISION LOCATED**
2 **AT APPROXIMATELY 1350 SOUTH DAVIS BOULEVARD – MR. LLOYD CHENEY**

3 Mr. Lloyd Cheney explained that this item came before the Planning Commission last week
4 and they recommend final approval of the subdivision. He explained the plans for water retention and
5 said that he feels they have done an adequate job accommodating those concerns. He also explained
6 that the bottom of the property lies along the creek bed, which gives us a good opportunity for
7 connectivity with Bountiful’s trails system. He has spoken with the property owners, and they also
8 have a desire to dedicate some of the property for trail use. Mr. Cheney feels the best way to
9 accomplish this will be through the dedication of an easement for a footpath.

10 Mr. Cheney explained that this property is located right next to the Millcreek reservoir, which
11 is a lynchpin in the City’s culinary water system. This development will give the City the opportunity
12 to create some redundancy in the water system, which is very important. If there is ever a line break,
13 service would be able to continue.

14 He added that the City Council will eventually have to authorize the granting of an easement
15 to the Sewer District for the extension of the sewer line.

16 He finished by saying that all other standards for development have been met and he thinks it
17 will be a great project.

18 Councilmember Higginson made a motion to approve the final approval of the Creekside
19 Views subdivision and Councilmember Price-Huish seconded the motion. The motion was approved
20 with Councilmembers Bell, Bradshaw, Higginson and Price-Huish voting “aye.”

21
22 **CONSIDER APPROVAL OF THE BID FROM ADVANCED PAVING FOR THE**
23 **RECONSTRUCTION OF 200 SOUTH IN THE AMOUNT OF \$325,746 – MR. LLOYD**
24 **CHENEY**

25 Mr. Cheney said that they had eight responses for this bid request, and they are excited that
26 Advanced Paving was the low bidder. We have worked with them before and been happy with their
27 work.

28 Councilmember Higginson said he was glad to read that the City is “first in line on Advanced
29 Paving’s schedule.” And he congratulated the Engineering Department for how close their estimate
30 was to the actual cost.

31 Councilmember Bradshaw asked if the water pipe project will set the City back on this project
32 at all. Mr. Cheney said that is always a possibility, but that they are trying to time the water pipe to be
33 finishing just before they need to pave.

34 Mr. Cheney also explained that part of the contract includes the requirement that Advanced
35 Paving communicate with the neighbors and those affected by the street paving projects. In the past
36 they have had issues with upset neighbors, so they are doing their best to get the word out this time
37 and work on PR. We will expect a weekly update from them about their communication efforts and
38 results.

39 Councilmember Bell made a motion to approve the bid from Advanced Paving in the amount
40 of \$325,746 and Councilmember Higginson seconded the motion. Mr. Cheney asked if
41 Councilmember Bell would please use the unit prices in the bid in his motion, instead of the total
42 amount. Councilmember Bell amended his motion to approve the bid with the unit prices as listed in
43 the bid and Councilmember Higginson seconded the amended motion. The motion was approved
44 with Councilmembers Bell, Bradshaw, Higginson and Price-Huish voting “aye.”
45

1 **CONSIDER THE APPROVAL OF A BEER LICENSE FOR EL DORADO LOCATED AT**
2 **435 SOUTH 500 WEST – MR. FRANCISCO ASTORGA**

3 Mr. Astorga explained that El Dorado restaurant located at 435 South 500 West has applied
4 for a Class D Beer License.

5 Councilmember Higginson made a motion to approve the beer license for El Dorado and
6 Councilmember Price-Huish seconded the motion. The motion was approved with Councilmembers
7 Bell, Bradshaw, Higginson and Price-Huish voting “aye.”

8
9 **CONSIDER APPROVAL OF ORDINANCE 2022-01 AMENDING THE LAND USE CODE**
10 **TEXT MAKING THE CITY COUNCIL APPOINTMENT TO THE PLANNING**
11 **COMMISSION BY THE MAYOR MANDATORY – MR. FRANCISCO ASTORGA**

12 Mr. Astorga explained that in the work session on January 11, 2022, the Council expressed
13 their preference to keep a member of the Council on the Planning Commission, so staff is
14 recommending a change to the text of the land use code which would make it a requirement. The text
15 will simply change to say, “the mayor shall appoint one of the five other members of the city council
16 as a full member of the planning commission.”

17 Councilmember Bradshaw made a motion to approve Ordinance 2022-01 and Councilmember
18 Higginson seconded the motion. The motion was approved with Councilmembers Bell, Bradshaw,
19 Higginson and Price-Huish voting “aye.”

20
21 **ADJOURN**

22 Councilmember Higginson made a motion to adjourn, and Councilmember Bradshaw
23 seconded the motion. The motion was approved with Councilmembers Bell, Bradshaw, Higginson
24 and Price-Huish voting “aye.”

25
26 The regular session was adjourned at 8:35 p.m.

Mayor Kendalyn Harris

City Recorder

City Council Staff Report



Subject: Expenditures for Invoices > \$1,000 paid
January 31 & February 7 & 10, 2022

Author: Tyson Beck, Finance Director

Department: Finance

Date: February 22, 2022

Background

This report is prepared following the weekly accounts payable run. It includes payments for invoices hitting expense accounts equaling or exceeding \$1,000.

Payments for invoices affecting only revenue or balance sheet accounts are not included. Such payments include: those to acquire additions to inventories, salaries and wages, the remittance of payroll withholdings and taxes, employee benefits, utility deposits, construction retention, customer credit balance refunds, and performance bond refunds. Credit memos or return amounts are also not included.

Analysis

Unless otherwise noted and approved in advance, all expenditures are included in the current budget. Answers to questions or further research can be provided upon request.

Department Review

This report was prepared and reviewed by the Finance Department.

Significant Impacts

None

Recommendation

Council should review the attached expenditures.

Attachments

Weekly report of expenses/expenditures for invoices equaling or exceeding \$1,000, paid January 31 & February 7 & 10, 2022

**Expenditure Report for Invoices (limited to those outlined in staff report) >\$1,000.00
Paid January 31, 2022**

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>ACCOUNT DESC</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>CHECK NO</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
1164	ANIXTER, INC.	Light & Power	535300 448632	Distribution	1,497.88	225975	5175376-02	Misc. Supplies - Customer # 6000052
1212	ASPLUNDH TREE EXPERT	Light & Power	535300 448632	Distribution	3,915.35	225976	55G86222	Tree Trimming - Customer # 025450
1212	ASPLUNDH TREE EXPERT	Light & Power	535300 448632	Distribution	4,758.65	225976	55G86122	Tree Trimming - Customer # 025450
11636	BLACK FOREST PAVING	Streets	104410 473200	Road Materials - Overlay	39,825.30	225978	04	Bountiful Overlays 2021- Final Payment
1393	BTS LANDSCAPING PROD	Landfill	575700 462400	Contract Equipment	12,702.25	225984	113111	Subgrinding
1615	CENTURYLINK	PSAP - E911	104219 428000	Telephone Expense	3,522.28	225989	01222022	Acct # 801-578-0401 452B
5332	DEWALCH TECHNOLOGIES	Light & Power	535300 448650	Meters	1,000.00	226000	35351	Meter Rings - Acct # 11347
13110	DORSETT CONTROLS	Water	515100 474500	Machinery & Equipment	11,590.47	226003	J005309	SCADA Contract Billing- Customer # 687
13110	DORSETT CONTROLS	Water	515100 474500	Machinery & Equipment	15,917.36	226003	J005288	SCADA Contract Billing - Customer Code 687
2055	ELECTRICAL CONSULTAN	Light & Power	535300 448639	Substation	1,297.00	226006	98449	Replace Breakers -Project BCP-020
8045	ELITE LANDSCAPE SERV	Cemetery	595900 425000	Equip Supplies & Maint	5,850.00	226007	21909	Reposition 42 large heads and quick coupler valves
2642	INTERWEST SUPPLY COM	Streets	104410 425000	Equip Supplies & Maint	5,257.26	226024	IN0095697	Plow Blades- Cust # BOU01
2987	M.C. GREEN & SONS IN	Streets	454410 473500	Road Reconstruction	2,149.99	226038	4544	1000 N Reconstruction, Concrete Repair
2993	MAC'S LOCK & KEY	Golf Course	555500 426020	Clubhouse Building Maintenance	1,344.75	226039	1-44233	Misc. Items and Keys
3045	MCCOMB, KENT	Golf Course	555500 423000	Travel & Training	2,166.51	226042	01272022	Travel and Training Expense Winter Conf.Ut Section
3271	NETWIZE	Computer Maintenance	616100 429300	Computer Hardware	42,136.00	226048	22052	Approved by Council - 40 Desktop Computers
13443	OAK HOLLOW ELECTRIC	Streets	104410 441300	Street Signs	13,405.00	226050	17-519	16 Electrical and Lighting
5550	PARTRIDGE PSYCHOLOGI	Police	104210 432000	Examination & Evaluation	1,200.00	226057	4554	Peer Support-Psychological Tests and Interviews
3549	PREMIER VEHICLE INST	Police	104210 425430	Service & Parts	2,524.75	226063	37627	Misc.Parts and Supplies of Police Vehicle
11060	PRIME FIELD SERVICE	Light & Power	535300 448627	Echo Hydro Operating Costs	4,315.97	226064	023662	Service Turbine - Cust # BOUPOW
5553	PURCELL TIRE AND SER	Landfill	575700 425000	Equip Supplies & Maint	1,251.74	226069	2860187	Tires for Landfill - Acct # 2801867
5553	PURCELL TIRE AND SER	Sanitation	585800 425000	Equip Supplies & Maint	1,511.76	226069	2859163	Tires and Service - Acct # 2801867
3633	RADWELL INTERNATIONA	Light & Power	535300 448627	Echo Hydro Operating Costs	1,430.00	226072	32458624	Control Equipment - Customer # 256707
3633	RADWELL INTERNATIONA	Light & Power	535300 448627	Echo Hydro Operating Costs	7,285.00	226072	32456233	Control Equipment - Customer # 256707
13460	RDR CUSTOM KYDEX INC	Police	104210 445100	Public Safety Supplies	6,760.00	226074	1622	Glocks - for Bountiful PD
4051	STATE OF UTAH	Streets	104410 441300	Street Signs	11,104.38	226085	2254000230	Signal Heads for 2 Intersections - Cust #VC239216
4171	THATCHER COMPANY	Water	515100 448000	Operating Supplies	1,744.52	226088	2022100106792	Fluoride - Customer # C1303
4171	THATCHER COMPANY	Water	515100 448000	Operating Supplies	2,172.08	226088	2022100106793	Fluoride - Customer # C1303
4229	TOM RANDALL DIST. CO	Streets	104410 425000	Equip Supplies & Maint	1,881.15	226090	0337385	Bulk Oil for Shop - Acct # 000275
4450	VERIZON WIRELESS	Police	104210 428000	Telephone Expense	2,033.03	226099	9897930201	Acct # 771440923-00001
7732	WINGFOOT CORP	Police	104210 426000	Bldg & Grnd Suppl & Maint	2,095.00	226104	108203	Janitorial Cleaning for January 2022
TOTAL:					<u>215,645.43</u>			

**Expenditure Report for Invoices (limited to those outlined in staff report) >\$1,000.00
Paid February 7, 2022**

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>ACCOUNT DESC</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>CHECK NO</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
1212	ASPLUNDH TREE EXPERT	Light & Power	535300 448632	Distribution	3,877.60	226110	55R73522	Tree Trimming - Customer # 025450
1212	ASPLUNDH TREE EXPERT	Light & Power	535300 448632	Distribution	4,813.84	226110	55R73622	Tree Trimming - Customer # 025450
1889	DAVIS COUNTY GOVERNMENT	Police	104210 431600	Animal Control Services	10,559.33	226125	117654	January 2022 Animal Control Services
3195	MOUNTAINLAND SUPPLY	Water	515100 448400	Dist System Repair & Maint	1,595.08	226150	S104502445.002	Repair Kits - Customer # 18498
8040	OTTO ENVIRONMENTAL	Sanitation	585800 448010	Garbage Containers	20,800.00	226154	INV-41511	300 Garbage Containers - Customer # 1004455
13385	PIPE FABRICATING & S	Water	515100 448400	Dist System Repair & Maint	1,350.00	226158	01499	Spacers - Job # 3478
12803	PLASTIC-MART.COM	Water	515100 448400	Dist System Repair & Maint	5,139.00	226159	750057771	Fluoride Day Tanks, Calder - Order # PM048490
13228	REDD ENGINEERING	Golf Course	555500 472100	Buildings	49,204.50	226164	21514-02	Bountiful Ridge Golf Cafe Remodel
13228	REDD ENGINEERING	Cemetery	595900 473100	Improv Other Than Bldgs	13,420.00	226164	21512-05	Cemetery Shop Expansion
10586	ROCKY MOUNTAIN RECYC	Recycling	484800 431550	Recycling Collectn Service	9,937.73	226167	68613	Curbside Recycling Fees for Jan. 2022
4171	THATCHER COMPANY	Water	515100 448000	Operating Supplies	4,257.45	226178	2021100100338	Chlorine Cylinder - Customer # C1303

4229 TOM RANDALL DIST. CO	Streets	104410	425000	Equip Supplies & Maint	28,233.25	226180 0337934	Fuel - Acct # 000275
4229 TOM RANDALL DIST. CO	Golf Course	555500	425000	Equip Supplies & Maint	2,115.61	226180 0337049	Fuel - Acct # 000276
4231 TONY DIVINO TOYOTA	Police	454210	474500	Machinery & Equipment	3,797.00	226181 6	Traded 2014&2012 for '22 ToyotaCamry, VIN NU581164
TOTAL:					<u>159,100.39</u>		

**Expenditure Report for Invoices (limited to those outlined in staff report) >\$1,000.00
Paid February 10, 2022**

<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>VENDOR NAME</u>	<u>DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>ACCOUNT DESC</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>CHECK NO</u>	<u>INVOICE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
2179	FIRST AMERICAN TITLE	Legislative	454110 471100	Land	25,000.00	226191	02102022	Earnest Money deposit for property purchase 1579 N
TOTAL:					<u>25,000.00</u>			

City Council Staff Report



Subject: Transformer Bid Approval
Author: Allen Ray Johnson, Director
Department: Light & Power
Date: February 22, 2022

Background

The availability of transformers continues to be a challenge for the City. The suppliers are not taking orders. We have had an option to get onto an emergency order with Anixter for 50 KVA transformers. These transformers will be used to replace damaged or leaking transformers on the system and future construction projects throughout the city.

We purchased thirty (30) 50 KVA transformers in March 2021, and they had a 18 week delivery and they cost approximately 40% less. Suppliers are not even taking orders or providing quotes because there is such a long lead time and the market is so uncertain. We are staying in contact with our suppliers to find out if they have an open slot to purchase transformers to keep our inventory up.

Analysis

We received a bid from (1) one supplier for \$3,555.00 each for the sum of \$106,650 from Anixter Power Solutions. They will not be delivered until November 2022. We would like to purchase these transformers to replace inventory that we anticipate using to replace known transformers that are leaking in the next couple of months.

Department Review

This report was reviewed by the City Manager.

Significant Impacts

These transformers will be purchased and placed into inventory until they are needed.

Recommendation

Staff recommend the approval of the 30 transformers from Anixter for the sum of \$106,650.

This item will be discussed at the Power Commission meeting Tuesday morning, February 22, 2022, and we will bring their recommendation to the City Council meeting that night.

Attachments

None

City Council Staff Report

Subject: 2022 Water Line Contract
Author: Lloyd Cheney, City Engineer
Department: Engineering, Water
Date: February 22, 2022



Background

Each year, the City contracts to install culinary water lines for replacements and upgrades to the culinary water system. This work also includes the installation of culinary mains in new developments. Due to a lack of responses to bidding for these projects in 2021, the Engineering Dept. re-advertised the work included in this contract in January, 2022.

This contract includes the following projects:

- Replacement of the existing water lines in the neighborhood located from 1000 N to 1600 N, from 400 W to 200 W.
- Replacement of the existing water line in 200 South, in preparation for the street reconstruction project to begin.
- We also anticipate assigning additional development and replacement projects to this contract.

The contract includes a schedule of values which can be used for development projects such as commercial buildings or subdivisions which may receive future approval, and an extension clause which would allow the Contractor to continue the same type of work for two additional years.

Because of the sensitive nature of working on the culinary water system, installation of water systems for new development and replacement work is closely managed by the Engineering Department and the Water Department.

Analysis

Proposals were received from 5 contractors, 3 of which have submitted bid for this contract in prior years. The lowest price proposal was received from Newman Construction of Riverton, UT. A summary of the bids is shown below:

Engineer's Estimate	\$1,953,950.00
Newman Construction	\$ 2,355,000.00
Skyview Excavation	\$ 2,462,417.00
M.C. Green & Sons	\$2,497,645.13
COP Construction	\$4,094,997.00
VanCon	\$5,047,440.00

Since Newman Construction is a new contractor to the City, contacts were made with prior clients who were listed as references. Three of the people listed in the Newman’s references are City Engineers or Public Works Directors with whom the Engineering Dept. are well acquainted. Each reference confirmed Newman’s qualifications and experience, and one of them specifically recommended the project superintendent for our project by name, without knowing that he was listed as an employee who would be assigned to our project.

Also included in the Engineering Department’s evaluation of pricing for this contract is a comparison to the 2020 pricing. Prices for specific items which make up the larger quantities of individual materials used in the 2020 season were selected for comparison, as shown in the following table:

Item	2020 Pricing	2022 Pricing	% Increase
6" PVC Water Line	\$30.40/ft	\$62.00/ft	204%
8" PVC Water Line	\$33.00/ft	\$74.00/ft	224%
Fire Hydrant Assembly	\$5,700.00	\$8,695.00	152%
1" Culinary Service	\$1,300.00	\$4,115.00	317%
2" Culinary Service	\$2,755.00	\$8,170.00	296%
6" Pressure Reducing Station	\$17,500.00	\$32,400.00	185%
8" Pressure Reducing Station	\$21,000.00	\$42,000.00	200%
Backfill Material	\$17.00/ton	\$21.00/ton	124%

Pricing was also compared between the actual expenses incurred in 2020 and the Newman’s pricing for projects with similar characteristics:

2022 Project	Type	2020 Pricing	2022 Pricing
1000 N-1600 N 400 W to 200 W	Replacement	\$81.12/ft	\$168.61/ft
200 S, 200 E to 400 E	Replacement	\$90.91/ft	\$211.94/ft
Creek Side Views Subdivision	New	\$106.94/ft	\$254.41/ft

Department Review

This report has been reviewed by the City Engineer and the Water Department Director.

Significant Impacts

The FY21-22 Water Dept. Capital Expense – Water Mains budget has allocated \$1,300,000 for water line replacement projects. The Department’s Long Term Capital Plan identifies \$1,406,621 for similar projects in the upcoming FY22-23 budget year. Because these amounts are key components to the Water Dept’s 40 yr maintenance plan, project expenses will be managed to fit within the planned expenditure levels. This will translate into fewer projects being completed at this time. Because the contract will extend across two budget years, the Water Dept. does not anticipate spending more than the combined total of \$2.7

million dollars for replacement work, including those projects installed by Water Dept. crews by the end of FY22-23.

Recommendation

- Accept the proposal of Newman Construction and award the contract for the 2022 Water Line Projects at the unit prices submitted in the proposal.

Attachments

Bid Tabulation

Schedule 1: Random Locations				ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE 13-Jan-22		Newman		Sky View		M.C. Green		COP		VanCon	
Item	Unit	Qty	Description	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount
1	Lft	200	4" C-900 PVC Pipe (DR 18)	50.40	10,080.00	87.00	17,400.00	48.00	9,600.00	80.00	16,000.00	105.00	21,000.00	105.00	21,000.00
2	Lft	1,000	6" C-900 PVC Pipe (DR 18)	56.40	56,400.00	62.00	62,000.00	78.00	78,000.00	68.00	68,000.00	127.00	127,000.00	128.00	128,000.00
3	Lft	1,000	8" C-900 PVC Pipe (DR 18)	63.60	63,600.00	74.00	74,000.00	95.00	95,000.00	80.00	80,000.00	127.00	127,000.00	135.00	135,000.00
4	Lft	1,000	10" C-900 PVC Pipe (DR 18)	66.00	66,000.00	89.00	89,000.00	110.00	110,000.00	97.00	97,000.00	140.00	140,000.00	155.00	155,000.00
5	Lft	1,000	12" C-900 PVC Pipe (DR 18)	69.60	69,600.00	107.50	107,500.00	118.00	118,000.00	114.00	114,000.00	155.00	155,000.00	185.00	185,000.00
6	Ea	3	6" Tapping Tee and Valve	4,080.00	12,240.00	4,490.00	13,470.00	3,100.00	9,300.00	2,909.07	8,727.21	7,000.00	21,000.00	8,000.00	24,000.00
7	Ea	3	8" Tapping Tee and Valve	4,680.00	14,040.00	5,380.00	16,140.00	4,735.00	14,205.00	3,542.26	10,626.78	7,500.00	22,500.00	10,000.00	30,000.00
8	Ea	3	4" Tee	960.00	2,880.00	1,100.00	3,300.00	1,200.00	3,600.00	1,025.73	3,077.19	1,500.00	4,500.00	2,500.00	7,500.00
9	Ea	5	6" Tee	1,080.00	5,400.00	1,570.00	7,850.00	1,400.00	7,000.00	933.44	4,667.20	1,900.00	9,500.00	2,700.00	13,500.00
10	Ea	5	8" Tee	1,260.00	6,300.00	2,130.00	10,650.00	1,600.00	8,000.00	1,448.43	7,242.15	2,200.00	11,000.00	3,500.00	17,500.00
11	Ea	5	10" Tee	1,620.00	8,100.00	2,725.00	13,625.00	2,100.00	10,500.00	2,116.12	10,580.60	2,700.00	13,500.00	4,100.00	20,500.00
12	Ea	3	12" Tee	1,800.00	5,400.00	3,190.00	9,570.00	2,500.00	7,500.00	2,879.65	8,638.95	3,200.00	9,600.00	4,700.00	14,100.00
13	Ea	2	8" Cross	1,680.00	3,360.00	2,515.00	5,030.00	1,650.00	3,300.00	2,352.04	4,704.08	3,000.00	6,000.00	4,000.00	8,000.00
14	Ea	3	4" Fitting	600.00	1,800.00	900.00	2,700.00	680.00	2,040.00	896.71	2,690.13	850.00	2,550.00	1,400.00	4,200.00
15	Ea	5	6" Fitting	780.00	3,900.00	995.00	4,975.00	1,000.00	5,000.00	753.49	3,767.45	1,000.00	5,000.00	1,500.00	7,500.00
16	Ea	5	8" Fitting	960.00	4,800.00	1,150.00	5,750.00	1,100.00	5,500.00	1,179.31	5,896.55	1,250.00	6,250.00	1,900.00	9,500.00
17	Ea	5	10" Fitting	1,560.00	7,800.00	1,665.00	8,325.00	1,500.00	7,500.00	1,394.72	6,973.60	1,550.00	7,750.00	2,500.00	12,500.00
18	Ea	3	12" Fitting	1,740.00	5,220.00	1,980.00	5,940.00	1,850.00	5,550.00	1,706.30	5,118.90	1,900.00	5,700.00	2,900.00	8,700.00
19	Ea	3	4" Gate Valve	1,920.00	5,760.00	1,970.00	5,910.00	1,880.00	5,640.00	2,035.02	6,105.06	2,600.00	7,800.00	3,000.00	9,000.00
20	Ea	5	6" Gate Valve	2,160.00	10,800.00	2,220.00	11,100.00	2,400.00	12,000.00	2,261.97	11,309.85	3,000.00	15,000.00	3,500.00	17,500.00
21	Ea	5	8" Gate Valve	2,520.00	12,600.00	2,920.00	14,600.00	2,850.00	14,250.00	2,891.53	14,457.65	3,800.00	19,000.00	4,500.00	22,500.00
22	Ea	5	10" Gate Valve	2,940.00	14,700.00	3,970.00	19,850.00	3,650.00	18,250.00	3,833.95	19,169.75	5,700.00	28,500.00	6,400.00	32,000.00
23	Ea	3	12" Gate Valve	3,960.00	11,880.00	4,795.00	14,385.00	5,200.00	15,600.00	4,577.63	13,732.89	6,300.00	18,900.00	7,500.00	22,500.00
24	Ea	5	Bountiful Standard FH Assembly	8,640.00	43,200.00	8,695.00	43,475.00	7,600.00	38,000.00	7,315.96	36,579.80	13,000.00	65,000.00	18,000.00	90,000.00
25	Ea	10	Transfer Service Lateral	1,080.00	10,800.00	600.00	6,000.00	1,850.00	18,500.00	1,265.49	12,654.90	3,000.00	30,000.00	1,500.00	15,000.00
26	Ea	10	Replace Service Lateral to Exist. Meter	1,560.00	15,600.00	1,125.00	11,250.00	2,300.00	23,000.00	1,761.05	17,610.50	4,000.00	40,000.00	5,500.00	55,000.00
27	Ea	10	New 1" Culinary Service	2,160.00	21,600.00	4,115.00	41,150.00	2,400.00	24,000.00	2,447.88	24,478.80	11,000.00	110,000.00	7,500.00	75,000.00
28	Ea	2	New 2" Culinary Service	3,720.00	7,440.00	8,170.00	16,340.00	3,265.00	6,530.00	4,938.70	9,877.40	13,000.00	26,000.00	17,000.00	34,000.00
29	Ea	5	Connect to Exist. Main	1,740.00	8,700.00	1,550.00	7,750.00	2,700.00	13,500.00	4,214.49	21,072.45	12,000.00	60,000.00	10,000.00	50,000.00
30	Ea	1	6" PRV with vault	32,400.00	32,400.00	36,000.00	36,000.00	46,500.00	46,500.00	35,142.23	35,142.23	58,600.00	58,600.00	105,000.00	105,000.00
31	Ea	1	8" PRV with vault	42,000.00	42,000.00	43,862.50	43,862.50	58,780.00	58,780.00	39,560.05	39,560.05	65,600.00	65,600.00	120,000.00	120,000.00
32	Ton	1,000	Granular Backfill	20.40	20,400.00	21.00	21,000.00	16.00	16,000.00	23.75	23,750.00	21.00	21,000.00	55.00	55,000.00
SubTotal				604,800.00	749,897.50	810,145.00	743,212.12	1,260,250.00	1,504,000.00						

Schedule 2: Area west of 200 W between 1000 N and 1600 N				ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE 13-Jan-22		Newman		Sky View		M.C. Green		COP		VanCon	
Item	Unit	Qty	Description	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount
1	Lft	200	6" C-900 PVC Pipe (DR 18)	56.40	11,280.00	114.00	22,800.00	82.00	16,400.00	108.00	21,600.00	220.00	44,000.00	125.00	25,000.00
2	Lft	7,230	8" C-900 PVC Pipe (DR 18)	63.60	459,828.00	84.00	607,320.00	95.00	686,850.00	99.11	716,565.30	127.00	918,210.00	135.00	976,050.00
3	Ea	18	8" Tee	1,320.00	23,760.00	2,110.00	37,980.00	1,600.00	28,800.00	1,485.76	26,743.68	2,200.00	39,600.00	3,500.00	63,000.00
4	Ea	7	6" Fitting	780.00	5,460.00	995.00	6,965.00	1,000.00	7,000.00	1,036.99	7,258.93	1,000.00	7,000.00	1,500.00	10,500.00
5	Ea	16	8" Fitting	960.00	15,360.00	1,140.00	18,240.00	1,100.00	17,600.00	1,174.18	18,786.88	1,250.00	20,000.00	1,900.00	30,400.00
6	Ea	3	6" Gate Valve	2,160.00	6,480.00	2,220.00	6,660.00	2,400.00	7,200.00	1,920.42	5,761.26	3,000.00	9,000.00	3,500.00	10,500.00
7	Ea	25	8" Gate Valve	2,520.00	63,000.00	2,920.00	73,000.00	2,850.00	71,250.00	2,891.53	72,288.25	3,800.00	95,000.00	4,300.00	107,500.00
8	Ea	15	Bountiful Standard FH Assembly	8,640.00	129,600.00	8,700.00	130,500.00	7,600.00	114,000.00	8,478.12	127,171.80	13,000.00	195,000.00	18,000.00	270,000.00
9	Ea	61	Repl. Service Lateral to Exist. Meter (Short)	1,440.00	87,840.00	1,290.00	78,690.00	1,600.00	97,600.00	1,761.05	107,424.05	4,000.00	244,000.00	5,500.00	335,500.00
10	Ea	86	Repl. Service Lateral to Exist. Meter (Long)	1,560.00	134,160.00	1,830.00	157,380.00	2,100.00	180,600.00	1,761.05	151,450.30	4,600.00	395,600.00	7,000.00	602,000.00
11	LS	11	Connect to Exist. Main	1,740.00	19,140.00	1,500.00	16,500.00	1,750.00	19,250.00	4,214.49	46,359.39	12,000.00	132,000.00	10,000.00	110,000.00
12	Ton	4,607	Granular Backfill	20.40	93,982.80	21.00	96,747.00	16.00	73,712.00	23.75	109,416.25	21.00	96,747.00	55.00	253,385.00
SubTotal				1,049,890.80	1,252,782.00	1,320,262.00	1,410,826.09	2,196,157.00	2,793,835.00						

Schedule 3: 200 S - 200 E to 400 E				ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE 13-Jan-22		Newman		Sky View		M.C. Green		COP		VanCon	
Item	Unit	Qty	Description	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount
1	Lft	30	6" C-900 PVC Pipe (DR 18)	56.40	1,692.00	128.00	3,840.00	80.00	2,400.00	172.50	5,175.00	230.00	6,900.00	125.00	3,750.00
2	Lft	1,122	8" C-900 PVC Pipe (DR 18)	63.60	71,359.20	89.50	100,419.00	95.00	106,590.00	99.11	111,201.42	140.00	157,080.00	140.00	157,080.00
3	Ea	4	8" Tee	1,320.00	5,280.00	2,125.00	8,500.00	1,600.00	6,400.00	1,511.40	6,045.60	2,000.00	8,000.00	3,500.00	14,000.00
4	Ea	2	6" Fitting	780.00	1,560.00	990.00	1,980.00	1,000.00	2,000.00	1,608.05	3,216.10	1,100.00	2,200.00	1,500.00	3,000.00
5	Ea	18	8" Fitting	960.00	17,280.00	1,110.00	19,980.00	1,100.00	19,800.00	1,067.76	19,219.68	1,200.00	21,600.00	1,900.00	34,200.00
6	Ea	4	8" Gate Valve	2,520.00	10,080.00	2,920.00	11,680.00	2,850.00	11,400.00	2,891.53	11,566.12	3,900.00	15,600.00	4,300.00	17,200.00
7	Ea	2	Bountiful Standard FH Assembly	8,640.00	17,280.00	8,700.00	17,400.00	7,600.00	15,200.00	8,478.12	16,956.24	13,000.00	26,000.00	18,000.00	36,000.00
8	Ea	9	Repl. Service Lateral to Exist. Meter (Short)	1,440.00	12,960.00	1,320.00	11,880.00	1,600.00	14,400.00	1,761.05	15,849.45	4,200.00	37,800.00	5,500.00	49,500.00
9	Ea	10	Repl. Service Lateral to Exist. Meter (Long)	1,560.00	15,600.00	2,000.00	20,000.00	2,100.00	21,000.00	1,761.05	17,610.50	4,500.00	45,000.00	7,000.00	70,000.00
10	Ea	6	Connect to Exist. Main	1,740.00	10,440.00	1,400.00	8,400.00	1,750.00	10,500.00	4,214.49	25,286.94	11,000.00	66,000.00	10,000.00	60,000.00
11	Ea	1	Install Casing Under Box Culvert	33,600.00	33,600.00	24,200.00	24,200.00	12,000.00	12,000.00	8,881.20	8,881.20	28,000.00	28,000.00	50,000.00	50,000.00
12	SqFt	400	Remove 8" PCCP	10.80	4,320.00	2.25	900.00	4.00	1,600.00	9.42	3,768.00	8.90	3,560.00	15.00	6,000.00
13	Ton	715	Granular Backfill	20.40	14,586.00	21.00	15,015.00	16.00	11,440.00	23.75	16,981.25	21.00	15,015.00	55.00	39,325.00
SubTotal					216,037.20		244,194.00		234,730.00		261,757.50		432,755.00		540,055.00

Schedule 4: Creekside View Subdivision				ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE 13-Jan-22		Newman		Sky View		M.C. Green		COP		VanCon	
Item	Unit	Qty	Description	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount	Unit Price	Amount
1	Lft	30	6" C-900 PVC Pipe (DR 18)	56.40	1,692.00	128.50	3,855.00	80.00	2,400.00	172.50	5,175.00	100.00	3,000.00	125.00	3,750.00
2	Lft	395	8" C-900 PVC Pipe (DR 18)	63.60	25,122.00	90.70	35,826.50	95.00	37,525.00	64.08	25,311.60	142.00	56,090.00	135.00	53,325.00
3	Ea	1	10" Tee	1,800.00	1,800.00	2,350.00	2,350.00	2,100.00	2,100.00	2,179.91	2,179.91	2,700.00	2,700.00	4,000.00	4,000.00
4	Ea	1	8" Tapping Tee and Valve	4,680.00	4,680.00	5,500.00	5,500.00	4,735.00	4,735.00	3,694.99	3,694.99	7,500.00	7,500.00	10,000.00	10,000.00
5	Ea	2	8" Fitting	960.00	1,920.00	1,130.00	2,260.00	1,100.00	2,200.00	1,195.98	2,391.96	1,600.00	3,200.00	1,900.00	3,800.00
6	Ea	2	8" Gate Valve	2,520.00	5,040.00	2,920.00	5,840.00	2,850.00	5,700.00	2,891.53	5,783.06	3,900.00	7,800.00	4,300.00	8,600.00
7	Ea	2	10" Gate Valve	3,000.00	6,000.00	4,000.00	8,000.00	3,650.00	7,300.00	3,833.95	7,667.90	5,700.00	11,400.00	5,800.00	11,600.00
8	Ea	2	Bountiful Standard FH Assembly	8,640.00	17,280.00	8,700.00	17,400.00	7,600.00	15,200.00	5,760.00	11,520.00	13,000.00	26,000.00	18,000.00	36,000.00
9	Ea	6	New 1" Culinary Service	2,160.00	12,960.00	2,900.00	17,400.00	2,400.00	14,400.00	2,420.00	14,520.00	11,000.00	66,000.00	7,500.00	45,000.00
10	Ea	1	Connect to Exist. Main	1,740.00	1,740.00	4,550.00	4,550.00	1,800.00	1,800.00	3,360.00	3,360.00	17,000.00	17,000.00	20,000.00	20,000.00
11	Ton	245	Granular Backfill	20.40	4,998.00	21.00	5,145.00	16.00	3,920.00	1.00	245.00	21.00	5,145.00	55.00	13,475.00
SubTotal					83,232.00		108,126.50		97,280.00		81,849.42		205,835.00		209,550.00
Total, All Schedules					1,953,960.00		2,355,000.00		2,462,417.00		2,497,645.13		4,094,997.00		5,047,440.00

City Council Staff Report

Subject: Davis County Animal Control Contract
Author: Chief Biehler
Department: Police Department
Date: February 22, 2022



Background

In 2016, Bountiful City and Davis County entered into an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for Animal Services. The Interlocal Agreement entered into in May of 2016 outlined a plan to address ongoing operating and capital costs of Animal Control through a 50% City/50% County cost sharing plan after applying Animal Control revenues. Each city's cost share is based on an average of two years of animal control call volume in each city. The agreement also identifies plans for accumulating an Animal Control capital fund of \$562,000 over a five year period. The county and each city will each fund the capital reserve in a 50/50 cost sharing plan in annual assessments of 20% of the amount needed to complete funding of the \$562,000 fund balance within the five year period. Attached to this report is Amendment No. 7 to the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for Animal Services setting the rates for calendar year 2022.

Analysis

The City's obligation for service calls, based on 2021's Usage Rate (9.62%) is \$135,265.85. The City's obligation to the County for wild nuisance animal pickup and/or euthanization calls was discontinued July 1st, 2020. The City's obligation to the County for the Capital Projects Fund regarding the shelter, based on 2021 Usage rate (9.62%) is \$5,406.44. The city's total obligation is \$140,672.29.

This Amendment No. 7 to the Interlocal Agreement is in line with the agreement reached in 2016.

Department Review

This report, along with the attached Amendment No. 7 to the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement, has been reviewed and comes with the concurrence of the Police Chief and City Manager.

Significant Impacts

Costs for Animal Control Services increased \$13,960.36 from the 2021 assessed rates.

Recommendation

Staff recommends City Council approval of the attached Amendment No. 7 to the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for Animal Control Services from Davis County with grant of authority for the Mayor, City Recorder and City Attorney to sign on behalf of the City.

Attachments

Bountiful City and Davis County Amendment No. 7 to the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for 2022.



Animal Care of Davis County

1422 East 600 North – Fruit Heights, Utah 84037
Telephone: (801) 444-2200 – TDD: (801) 451-3228 – Fax: (801) 444-2212

To: Gary Hill
From: Ashleigh Young
Date: 13 December 2021
Re: Amendment #7 to Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for Animal Services

Mr. Hill,

Attached you will find Amendment #7 to Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for Animal Services provided to the city of Bountiful. As discussed in our last meeting, this amendment is intended to guide us through 2022, as we move towards a tax levy to be used to fund animal care in 2023. There are three specific areas of this amendment that are important to review and understand. They are the overall budget, wildlife services, and the capital projects fund.

Overall Budget:

We saw a slight increase in our annual expenses, as has been the case every year. This year's increase is 7% vs. 11.5% in 2021. Animal Care did not add any new positions to our team in this upcoming budget year, but due to the current state of the labor market, wages have gone up significantly over 2021. This is where the majority of the increase can be found. We are seeing a decrease in medication and operating supplies in 2021 due to heightened management in these two areas.

Our building improvements line item is significantly higher this year due to engaging in a 9 month long feasibility study with Citygate Associates, LLC in preparation for building a new animal care facility in the coming years. This feasibility study comes at a cost of \$189,525. This cost is being split between all the cities and the county 50/50 as discussed in the last meeting.

Wildlife Services:

Wildlife services has been completely removed from Amendment #7 to the Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for Animal Services.

Capital Projects Fund:

We are actively working towards relocating to a newer, more suitable shelter for effective animal care operations and the convenience of our citizens. However, until that time, it is important to extend the Capital Projects Fund regarding the shelter at the same rate/amount that has been collected in the past.



Animal Care of Davis County

1422 East 600 North – Fruit Heights, Utah 84037
Telephone: (801) 444-2200 – TDD: (801) 451-3228 – Fax: (801) 444-2212

This fund will help us with any necessary facility repairs that have been identified or present themselves prior to a new facility being built.

Obviously, we will be prudent knowing that the intent is to move to a new building in the near future. However, it is also sensible to ensure the aged facility is still able to properly house animals, provide a safe work environment for our employees, and safely and effectively serve our citizens and their pets.

In addition to the electronic version of this letter and contract amendment, we will be sending the original documents to you via U.S. Mail. Please use those original documents for the official signatures and return them to us in the stamped manila envelope provided by **January 28, 2022**.

Once received we will get the necessary signatures of our county officials and forward a copy to you for your records. We appreciate any efforts made to expedite the review and signature process, and will do our part to turn around any contracts we receive prior to January 28. If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me. Again, thank you for the opportunity to provide professional animal care and control services to the citizens of your city.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Ashleigh Young".

Ashleigh Young

Director

Animal Care of Davis County

AMENDMENT NO. 07 TO INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT FOR ANIMAL SERVICES

This Amendment No. 07 to Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for Animal Services (this “Amendment No. 07”) is made and entered into as of January 1, 2022, by and between Davis County, a political subdivision of the state of Utah (the “County”), and Bountiful City, a municipal corporation of the state of Utah (the “City”). The County and the City may be collectively referred to as the “Parties” herein.

RECITALS

This Amendment No. 07 is made and entered into by and between the Parties based, in part, upon the following recitals:

- A. In 2016, the Parties entered into an *Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for Animal Services*, which is labeled by the County as Contract No. 2016-229 (the “Agreement”); and
- B. The Parties, through this Amendment No. 07, desire to modify certain terms and/or provisions of the Agreement.

Now, based upon the foregoing, and in consideration of the terms set forth in this Amendment No. 07, the Parties do hereby agree as follows:

- 1. **Exhibit A of the Agreement is replaced in its entirety with the Exhibit A below:**

EXHIBIT A

The City’s 2022 calendar year obligation to the County for service calls, excluding calls for wild nuisance, animal pick up and/or euthanization:

<u>Title/Category</u>	<u>Subtitle/Subcategory</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Budgeted 2022 Expenditures by Davis County for Animal Care and Control:	Personnel:	\$2,359,650.00
	Operating:	\$535,949.00
	Capital Equipment:	\$302,843.00
	Allocations:	\$176,349.88
	Total Expenditures:	\$3,374,792.00
Projected 2022 Revenues of Davis County Animal Care and Control:	Licenses:	\$202,216.00
	Shelter Fees:	\$135,311.00
	Adoptions:	\$78,000.00
	Budget Adjustment:	\$129,000
	Donations:	\$18,085.00
	Total Revenues:	\$562,612.00
Projected 2022 Expenditures Less Projected 2021 Revenues:		2,812,180
Combined Cities’ 50% Obligation:		1,406,090
Average of the City’s Total Billable Calls for 2020 and 2021:		833
Average of Combined Cities’ Total Billable Calls for 2020 and 2021:		8662
The City’s 2021 Usage Rate:		9.62%
The City’s 2022 Calendar Year Obligation to the County:		\$135,265.85

The City shall pay the foregoing calendar year obligation to the County on a monthly basis and within thirty calendar days of receipt of a monthly invoice from the County.

The City's 2022 calendar year obligation to the County for
the capital projects fund regarding the Shelter:

<u>Title/Category</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Total of Capital Projects Fund Regarding the Shelter:	\$562,000.00
Combined Cities' Portion of the Capital Projects Fund Regarding the Shelter:	\$281,000.00
2022 Obligation of the Combined Cities:	\$56,200.00
The City's 2021 Usage Rate:	9.62%
The City's 2021 Calendar Year Obligation to the County:	5,406.44

The City shall pay the foregoing calendar year obligation to the County on a monthly basis and within thirty calendar days of receipt of a monthly invoice from the County.

1. **Discontinuation of Wildlife Services.** Wildlife services were discontinued July 1st, 2020 and any fees associated with this service have been removed from the contract.
2. **Capital Projects Fund Regarding the Shelter.** In reference to Section 5 of the Agreement Capital Projects Fund Regarding the Shelter, this Amendment No. 07 hereby extends the contribution by the Combined Cities to the Capital Projects Fund Regarding the Shelter through December 31, 2022. The parties hereby agree to extend the obligation to contribute to the capital projects fund as calculated in the Agreement and summarized below:
 - a. The combined cities' annual calendar year obligation for 2022 to the capital projects fund regarding the shelter as referenced in Exhibit A above will be \$56,200. The City's specific portion of the Combined Cities obligation, shall be the average of the City's calls for animal care and control services for the two calendar years immediately prior divided by the average of all of the combined cities' calls for animal care and control services for the two calendar years immediately prior ("usage rate") multiplied by the combined cities calendar year obligation.
3. **Term of Agreements** In reference to Section 10 of the Agreement *Term of agreement*, this Amendment No. 07 shall, subject to the termination and other provisions set forth therein, terminate on December 31, 2022 at 11:59 p.m. (the "Term"). The parties may, by written amendment to this Agreement, extend the Term of this Agreement.
4. **Continuing Effect of the Agreement.** Except to the extent specifically modified by this Amendment No. 07, the terms and conditions of the Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
5. **Counterparts.** This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all such counterparts shall have the same force and effect as original signatures.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this Amendment No. 07 to be signed by their duly authorized representatives on the dates indicated below.

<p>DAVIS COUNTY</p> <p>By: _____ Chair, Board of Davis County Commissioners Date: _____</p> <p>ATTEST:</p> <p>_____ Davis County Clerk/Auditor Date: _____</p> <p>APPROVED AS TO FORM:</p> <p>_____ Davis County Attorney's Office Date: _____</p>	<p>BOUNTIFUL CITY</p> <p>By: _____ Mayor Date: _____</p> <p>ATTEST:</p> <p>_____ City Recorder Date: _____</p> <p>APPROVED AS TO FORM:</p> <p>_____ City Attorney Date: _____</p>
---	--